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Dr. Belmaker presents his opinion on an important issue, how much a basic research result can be used to guide clinical practice [1]. Dangerous traps in the process from basic research to bedside practice exist, particularly in the time of “new media.” When patients are in desperate struggles looking for better treatment of their disorders, their decisions may be misled by news, become less logical, and ultimately make their situation worse. This is a particular problem for alternative medicine. Clinicians can provide important safeguards against these worsened outcomes.

Ioannidis has reviewed the problem of non-replicability of many scientific findings, pointing to the needs of cautious use of research results [2]. Well-designed clinical trials, with large sample sizes, and replicated findings are the gold standard for results that can be applied to patients.

The media also need to take responsibility for the scientific significance of the basic research results they publicize, so that they are not exaggerated beyond what they can truly represent. Even though the ultimate choice of a treatment lies in the hands of our patients, clinicians bear the responsibility to provide education and informed advice about their choices.
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